PATENT

First Named Inventor: Thore Graepel

Attorney Docket No.: 306017.01 Group Art Unit: 2121

Application No.: 10/697,727
Filed: October 29, 2003

Confirmation Number: 7707

Customer No.: 22971

Examiner: Holmes, Michael B

Title: Invariant Pattern Recognition

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1460

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance

Dear Sir:

Applicants acknowledge the allowance of claims 1–6, 10, 16–28, 33, and 38–93 in the

subject application by the Examiner with appreciation. The Applicants agree with the Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance to the extent that the claims of the present

invention are patentable over the references in the record. The Applicants expressly traverse

the Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance to the extent that any comment is intended

or has the effect of limiting a claim scope, explicitly or implicitly, by not reciting verbatim the respective claim language, or is intended or has the effect of limiting a claim scope by stating

or implying that all the reasons for patentability are in any way fully enumerated.

Moreover, it appears that the Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance reiterates

the Examiner's arguments made during prosecution. By virtue of the Examiner's allowance of the claims over the cited references and the associated arguments, it is believed that the

previous arguments made by the Examiner have been overcome. Additionally, Applicants

specifically do not acquiesce or agree in any manner as to the comments made by the Examiner

regarding what the prior does or does not teach. Indeed, Applicants have pointed out the

errors in the comments/arguments in Applicants' Response to the Final Office Action.

The Applicants further point out that the reasons for allowance set forth by the Examiner are not the only reasons that the claims are allowable. Further reasons for allowance

of the claims beyond those enumerated by the Examiner are described and set forth in the

Applicants' specification. In addition, structures and methods that perform substantially the

Page 1 of 2

Microsoft Corporation

Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance

MS: 306017.01

same function in substantially the same way to achieve substantially the same results are included within the scope of the claims.

Finally, as the Examiner's reasons for allowance are not exhaustive, such reasons for allowance do not establish an estoppel against Applicants seeking and obtaining allowance of additional, broader claims in a continuation application, which Applicants reserve the right to file.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: December 8, 2006

Microsoft Corporation
One Microsoft Way
Redmond WA 98052-6399

L. Alan Collins

Registration Number: 57,646 Direct telephone (425) 703-8265

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING OR TRANSMISSION (Under 37 CFR § 1.8(a)) or ELECTRONIC FILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being electronically deposited with the USPTO via EFS-Web on the date shown below:

December 8, 2006

Date

Cianatura,

Noemi Tovar

Printed Name